

DEBUNKING MYTHS

ABOUT AUTHORS' & RESEARCHERS' RIGHTS

MYTH	FACT
Copyright law and licensing are roadblocks to Open Access publishing.	Copyright and voluntary licensing are the legal bedrocks of Open Access publishing. Copyright laws support the interests of authors and, when publishing open access, the author usually retains the copyright in the work that they create. Publishers provide a variety of options to meet the needs and preferences of authors from a range of disciplines and with different professional goals. Flexibility in devising the best-suited distribution and access models to copyrighted content is key in achieving Open Access.
Institutions are better positioned to protect authors' rights than are publishers.	Publishers provide ongoing stewardship for the works that they publish, safeguarding authors' work from misuse and misinterpretation. Publishers provide authors with a range of transparent options, offering choice over how their work is distributed and how it can be re-used by others. Publishers establish and maintain relationships with authors and serve authors' interests by way of individual assistance, broad promotional efforts, public advocacy, and legal avenues.
When authors assign or license their rights to a publisher, they lose their autonomy and any rights to make decisions about their work.	Publishers offer a variety of copyright assignment or licensing options. An author can select an arrangement that best suits their needs. Irrespective of the publishing model, authors generally have a range of options to share and reuse their work, such as posting accepted manuscripts to an institutional repository and reusing the article in their own other works, such as in a cumulative thesis, or extended book. Importantly, an assignment or licence allows the author to retain their moral rights. Further, any assignment of rights to the publisher relates only to the publication, not to the research itself, nor to any data associated with the work.

MYTH	FACT
<p>Open access licences protect author interests and reflect authors' interests.</p>	<p>Open access licences may or may not fulfil an author's goals. For example, a "CC BY" licence irrevocably permits third parties, including commercial third parties, to make derivative works from that article without requiring permission from or compensation to the original author. Authors will have different needs and preferences and evidence suggests that authors may not elect to publish their content under a particular licence for these reasons and/or because they are unclear about what a particular licence permits or what that their institution requires.</p> <p>Offering a range of licences supports publishers in enforcing rights in accordance with authors' wishes.</p>
<p>Rights retention strategies ensure that authors retain control of their works and keep publishers from exploiting them.</p>	<p>By publishing on a gold open access basis, authors can often retain copyright in their work and make it immediately and freely available. When publishing gold open access, authors often have a choice of Creative Commons end-user licenses which authors can select from depending on their needs and preferences.</p> <p>Rights retention strategies essentially appropriate the authors' copyright or mandate that authors distribute their works via an open licence which allows anyone to use the work in any way they choose, without seeking permission from the author. This risks the work being misinterpreted or misused. "Rights retention" is a misleading label, as the author does not "retain" their rights.</p>
<p>Rights retention strategies help authors retain their copyright and are easy to implement.</p>	<p>Rights retention strategies, currently employed by several funders and institutions, severely limit authors' freedoms over where to publish given most journals and publishers are unable to support them. In addition to the practical limitation they place on authors' freedom to publish, their legal status is complicated by of a number of factors, including the different legal frameworks applying to different authors at different institutions in different countries – very much the norm in today's multi-national research environment. All of these factors have the effect of restraining rather than retaining author rights.</p>

MYTH

FACT

1 Copyright law and licensing are roadblocks to Open Access publishing.

Copyright and voluntary licensing are the legal bedrocks of Open Access publishing. Copyright laws support the interests of authors. Publishers provide a variety of options to authors that best suit authors' substantive disciplines and professional goals.

2 Institutions are better positioned to protect authors' rights than are publishers.

Publishing houses are set up to provide ongoing stewardship for the works that they publish. They establish and maintain relationships with authors and serve authors' interests by way of individual assistance, public advocacy and legal avenues.

3 When authors assign or license their rights to a publishing house, they lose their autonomy and any rights to make decisions about their work.

Publishing houses offer a variety of copyright assignment or licensing options. An author may select a contractual arrangement that best suits their needs. This generally includes an option to post an accepted manuscript to an institutional repository (potentially after an embargo period and/or under a particular licence).

4 Open licences, such as CC BY, are adequate protection for authorial interests and better reflect authors' interests.

Open licences may or may not fulfil an author's goals. A "CC BY" licence irrevocably permits third parties, including commercial third parties, to make derivative works from a given article without requiring permission from or compensation to the original author. Under some CC licenses, publishers can monitor and enforce authors' rights.

5 Rights retention strategies ensure that authors retain control of their works and keep publishers from exploiting them.

Rights retention strategies mandate that authors distribute their works via an open licence which does the opposite of keeping authors at the helm of their rights – it irrevocably allows others, including commercial parties, to use and reuse their works without seeking permission and without compensation to the author.

6 Rights retention strategies help authors retain their copyright and are easy to implement.

Rights retention strategies severely limit authors' freedoms regarding where to publish. Rights retention strategies' legal status is complicated by a number of factors. RRS has the problematic effect of restraining rather than retaining or bolstering author rights.